arXiv:1610.00240v1 [math.AP] 2 Oct 2016

Vanishing viscosity Limit For the 3D Nonhomogeneous Incompressible Fluids with a Slip Boundary Condition*

Pengfei Chen[1] and Yuelong Xiao[1] †

[1] School of Mathematics and Computational Science,
Xiangtan University, Hunan 411105, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the solvability, regularity and vanishing viscosity limit of the 3D viscous incompressible nonhomogeneous fluid with a slip boundary condition. The additional boundary condition for density, which $\nabla\rho\cdot n=0$ on the boundary of the domain, is emphasized in the proof of vanishing viscous limit.

Keywords: Nonhomogeneous fluids, Vanishing viscosity limit, Slip boundary conditions..

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega\subset R^3$ be flat boundary, we investigate the initial and boundary value of the motion of viscous incompressible nonhomogeneous fluids which is governed by the equations

$$\begin{cases}\rho\partial_t u-\nu\Delta u+\rho u\cdot\nabla u+\nabla p=0, & in\ \Omega,\\ \partial_t\rho+u\cdot\nabla\rho=0, & in\ \Omega,\\ \nabla\cdot u=0, & in\ \Omega,\\ u(0,x)=u_0(x),\rho(0,x)=\rho_0(x), & in\ \Omega,\end{cases}\tag{1.1}$$

with the slip boundary conditions

$$u\cdot n=0,\nabla\times u\cdot\tau=0,\quad on\ \partial\Omega,\tag{1.2}$$

*This work was supported by the NSFC (No. 11371300) and the Hunan Provincial Innovation Foundation For Postgraduate(CX2015B205).

†Corresponding author: xyl@xtu.edu.cn.

where the positive constant ν is the viscosity coefficient, n is the unit outward normal to boundary, τ stands for any unit tengential vector and ρ, u, p represents the mass density, the velocity field and the pressure of the fluids, respectively. In addition, $u_0(x)$, $\rho_0(x)$ are the initial velocity and density, and $\rho_0(x)$ assumed to satisfy $0 < m \leq \rho_0(x) \leq M < \infty$ with m and M positive constants.

The interest towards the model is due to its physical significance. For the first time the system as a model for the description of marine currents in [19]. The model is also investigated by other authors who called density dependent incompressible Navier stokes equations. A number of problems important for practice have also been solved effectively, for example, some problems from oceanology and hydrology.

The studying of nonhomogeneous fluids was initiated by Antontsev and Kazhikov [26]. In order to study higher regularity of strong solutions u, as pointed in [12], H. Choe and H. Kim imposed a compatibility condition for initial data, similar condition is also applied in [14, 32]. There's massive literature on nonhomogeneous fluids concerning well-posedness in various function spaces [7, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25, 27, 30, 31]. However, very little is known about the nonhomogeneous fluids with the slip boundary condition.

The slip boundary condition (1.2) on the velocity is the special Navier-type slip boundary condition, which was first introduced by Navier [3]. Recently, Xiao and Xin first investigated the vanishing viscosity limit problem on 3D Navier-Stokes equations with slip boundary condition [33], which was generalized by H. Beirão da Veiga and F. Crispo [10, 11]. This type of physical boundary condition has been applied extensively in fluid dynamics problems [4, 5, 11, 13, 17, 34] and therein. From a physical point of view, as the nonconstant density entering in the momentum equations, the equations with the density dependent becomes special, hence, we are interested in investigating the vanishing viscosity limit problem with slip boundary condition.

The research on vanishing viscosity limit of the viscous fluids is an classical issue in fluid dynamics. In the recent paper [33], Xiao and Xin obtained that the uniform $H^3(\Omega)$ estimate and convergence rata on 3D Navier Stokes equations in flat boundary, the approach also applied to MHD equations [34]. In general smooth bounded domains, Xiao and Xin [35] obtained that viscous solution converges to that of the ideal Euler equations in $C([0,T];H^1(\Omega))$ provided that initial vorticity vanishes on the boundary. In [15], L. C. Berselli and S. Spirito have generalized the result [35] to the sobolev space $W^{1,p}$.

When it comes to the vanishing viscous limit of the model (1.1), the problem without boundary conditions has been investigated by S. Itoh [28] and S. Itoh and A. Tani [29]. Recently, R. Danchin obtained the vanishing viscous limit result in periodic case [22]. So far the mathematical rigorous analysis of the inviscid limit results about viscous nonhomogeneous fluids with boundary is not well understood, the main mathematical difficulty is the formation of the boundary layer. An important physical model, which called the Euler equations for nonhomogeneous fluids

(or the density dependent incompressible Euler equations), should be considered as investigating the vanishing viscous limit. The model describes as follows

$$\begin{cases} \rho^0\partial_t u^0 + \rho^0 u^0\cdot\nabla u^0 + \nabla p^0 = 0, & in\ \Omega,\\ \partial_t\rho^0 + u^0\cdot\nabla\rho^0 = 0, & in\ \Omega,\\ \nabla\cdot u^0 = 0, & in\ \Omega,\\ u^0(0,x) = u_0(x), \rho^0(0,x) = \rho_0(x), & in\ \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

with slip boundary

$$u^0\cdot n = 0, \quad in\ \partial\Omega. \tag{1.4}$$

The equations has been studied by A. Valli and W. Zajaczkowski [1], H. Beirão da Veiga and A. Valli [8, 9], R. Danchin [23] and so on.

In this paper, our aim is to investigate the vanishing viscosity limit of (1.1)-(1.2). Our problem here is the existence of the density, which is different from [33]. To deal with it, we add the following compatible boundary condition

$$\nabla\rho\cdot n = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

under which, we can get the similar results as Navier Stokes equation [33]. It should be mentioned that similar technique has been done to the density of the Boussineq equations by L. C. Berselli and S. Spirito in [16].

Remark 1.1. *In [16], the author used the compatible boundary condition of the density $\nabla\rho = 0$. This condition can obviously apply to our problem. Indeed, our results also hold if we use this condition for the density. However, we find the weaker condition (1.5) can play the same role if the boundary is flat. It should be pointed out this condition fails to be true in general if the boundary is nonflat.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce some notion of function spaces and some basic results to be used latter. In section 3, we obtain some properties on slip boundary condition. In section 4, we obtain the global existence of a weak solution. In section 5, we proof the high order of strong solution. In the last section, we investigate the system (1.1) with boundary condition (1.2) converge to (1.3) with boundary condition (1.4) and get the convergence rate.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\Omega\subset R^3$ denotes the cubic domain, and impose our boundary conditions only on two opposite faces, $\partial\Omega = \{(x_1,x_2,x_3); x_3 = 0,1\}\cap\overline{\Omega}$. The inner product is denoted by

$(\cdot)$, the $H^s(\Omega)$ with $s > 0$ denotes the standard Sobolev spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_m = \|\cdot\|_{H^m}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} = \|\cdot\|$, $\nabla \times \phi = \varepsilon_{ijk}\partial_j\phi_k$, $u \cdot \nabla v = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 u_i\partial_i v_j$. For notational convenience, Ω may be omitted when we write these spaces without confusion. We also denote function spaces by

$$X = \{u \in L^2(\Omega); \nabla \cdot u = 0,\ u \cdot n = 0\},$$

be the Hilbert space with the L^2 inner product, and

$$V = H^1(\Omega) \cap X \subset X,\ V^* \text{is the dual of V},$$

$$W = \{u \in V \cap H^2(\Omega); \nabla \times u \cdot \tau = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\} \subset X.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $u \in H^s$ be a vector-valued function, then*

$$\|u\|_s \leq C(\|\nabla \times u\|_{s-1} + \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{s-1} + |n \cdot u|_{s-\frac{1}{2}} + \|u\|_{s-1}).$$

Remark 2.1. *This lemma implies that a vector valued function u having the relations that H^s norm can be controlled by its H^{s-1} norms of curl u, divergence of u and the $H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ norm of $u \cdot n$. In particular, as the fluid is incompressible with slip boundary conditions, then, we have*

$$\|u\|_1 \leq C\|\nabla \times u\|.$$

Next, we explicit the properties of the stokes operator $-P\Delta$ and the given slip boundary conditions in flat domain.

Lemma 2.2. *The Stokes operator $A = -\Delta$ with $D(A) = W \subset V$ is the self-adjoint extension of the positive closed bilinear form*

$$(Au, v) = a(u, v) \equiv \int_\Omega \nabla \times u \cdot \nabla \times v dx,$$

with its inverse being compact, and there is a countable eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}$ such that

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \cdots \to \infty,$$

the corresponding eigenvector $\{e_j\} \subset W \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ makes an orthogonal complete basis of H.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, then the boundary condition $u \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times u \cdot \tau = 0$ is equivalent to $u_3 = 0,\ \partial_3 u_j = 0,\ j = 1, 2$ on the boundary.*

3 The Boundary Condition And The Nonlinearity

In this section, we investigate some properties on viscous nonhomogeneous fluids with the silp boundary condition. We show that the compatible boundary condition of the density is needed to matches smoothly the slip boundary condition in flat boundary.

Proposition 3.1. *If the Ω is flat boundary, let initial data of density ρ_0 satisfies $\nabla\rho_0 \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary, then $\rho(t)$ satisfy the boundary condition (1.5).*

Proposition 3.2. *We take the partial x_3 to the $(1.1)_2$, then*

$$\partial_t\partial_3\rho + u \cdot \nabla\partial_3\rho + \partial_3 u \cdot \nabla\rho = 0,$$

since the boundary is flat, it deduces $\partial_3 u \cdot \nabla\rho = \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3\rho$, the initial data $\nabla\rho_0 \cdot n = 0$ implies $\partial_3\rho_0 = 0$, then the ordinary equation implies that $\rho(t)$ independent of x_3 in time evolution on the boundary hold.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\rho \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W$ and $\nabla\rho_0 \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary, then $\nabla \times (\rho u_t) \cdot \tau = 0$.*

Proposition 3.4. *It is easy to get $\rho u_t \cdot n = 0$, in addition*

$$(\nabla \times (\rho u_t))_\tau = (\nabla\rho \times u_t + \rho\nabla \times u_t)_\tau.$$

According to

$$\nabla\rho \cdot n = 0, \ u \cdot n = 0,$$

then we can deduce

$$\nabla\rho \times u_t \times n = 0.$$

In addition, it's obviously that $(\rho\nabla \times u_t)_\tau = 0$. It completes the proof.

Next, we consider the nonlinear terms and define

$$B(\rho, u) = \rho u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p,$$

where ∇p is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p &= -\nabla \cdot (\rho u \cdot \nabla u) - \partial_t u \cdot \nabla\rho, \\ \nabla p \cdot n &= -\rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot n. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we know $B(\rho, u) \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\rho \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W$ and $\nabla\rho_0 \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary, then $\nabla \times B(\rho, u) \cdot \tau = 0$.*

Proof. Obviously, we have $B(\rho,u)\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, then we proof

$$(\nabla\times B(\rho,u))_j=0,\qquad j=1,\,2\ on\ \partial\Omega.$$

Since $u_3=0,\ \partial_3u_j=0,\ j=1,2$ on the boundary in lemma 2.4, therefore, we have $\partial_{i,3}u_j=0,\ \text{for } i,j=1,2$ on $\partial\Omega$. where

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla\times B(\rho,u))_j&=(\nabla\times(\rho u\cdot\nabla u))_j\\ &=(\nabla\rho\times(u\cdot\nabla u)+\rho u\cdot\nabla\omega-\rho\omega\cdot\nabla u)_j.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\nabla\rho\cdot n=0,\ (u\cdot\nabla u)\cdot n=0,$$

then we have

$$\nabla\rho\times(u\cdot\nabla u)\times n=0.$$

In addition

$$(\rho u\cdot\nabla\omega-\rho\omega\cdot\nabla u)_1=\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\rho u_i\partial_i\omega_1-\rho u_i\omega_i\partial_i u_1)=0.$$

Similarly,

$$(\rho u\cdot\nabla\omega-\rho\omega\cdot\nabla u)_2=\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\rho u_i\partial_i\omega_2-\rho u_i\omega_i\partial_i u_2)=0.$$

□

4 The weak solutions

In this section, we consider the globle existence of weak to the nonhomogeneous fluids with slip boundary through Galerkin approximation. The Ω can be considered extending to general smooth bounded simply connected domain in R^3.

Definition 4.1. *Assume that $\rho_0\in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $u_0\in X$, ρ_0 on the time interval [0,T). If $\rho\in L^\infty(\Omega), u\in L^\infty(0,T;X)\cap L^2(0,T;V)$ and $u_t\in L^1(0,T;V^*)$, then ρ,u be called a weak solution of (1.1-1.2) and satisfy the following two conditions*

$$\begin{aligned}&(\rho u_t,\phi)+\nu(\omega,\nabla\times\phi)+(\rho u\cdot\nabla u),\phi)=0,\\ &(\rho_t,\varphi)+(u\cdot\nabla\rho,\varphi)=0.\end{aligned}$$

It holds for all test function $\phi\in V$, $\varphi\in H_0^1$. In addition, the initial data $u(0)=u_0,\rho(0)=\rho_0$, where $\omega=\nabla\times u$.

The major mathematical result of this section is the global existence of a weak solution.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\rho_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega), u_0 \in X$ and u_0 is divergence-free with slip boundary conditions, then for any given positive $T > 0$, there exists at least a weak solution of the nonhomogeneous fluids on [0,T) which satisfies the following energy estimate*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}u(t)\|^2 + 2\nu \int_0^T \|\nabla \times u\|^2 d\tau \leq \|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|^2.$$

in the sense of distribution.

Proof. Let m be a positive integer, $e_1, \cdots e_m$ the m first eigenfunctions of A. We consider the projector $P_m : H \to H$ onto the space spanned by the m first eigenfunctions $e_1, \cdots e_m$. Applying P_m to $(1.1)_1$ would yield the equation

$$P_m(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}) - \nu \Delta P_m u^{(m)} = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\rho_t^{(m)} + u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla \rho^{(m)} = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$u^{(m)}(0,x) = P_m u_0(x),\ \rho^{(m)}(0,x) = \rho_0(x). \tag{4.3}$$

Denote the j components of approximate functions sequence is described by

$$u^{(m)}(t,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j(t)e_j.$$

We denote

$$a_i^{m,j}(t) = \int_\Omega \rho^{(m)}(t,x)e_i e_j dx,\ \lambda_i^j = \int_\Omega \lambda_i e_i e_j dx,$$

$$\beta_{i,k}^{m,j}(t) = \int_\Omega \rho^{(m)}(t,x)e_i \cdot \nabla e_k e_j dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_m(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)}(t,x)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)}(t,x), e_j)e_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} u_{it}(t)a_i^{m,j}(t)e_j. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} P_m(\rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}(t,x)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}, e_j)e_j \\ &= \sum_{i,jk=1}^{m} u_i(t)u_k(t)\beta_{i,k}^{m,j}(t)e_j. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.1) has the form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} u_{it}(t)a_i^{m,j}(t)e_j + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m} u_i(t)u_k(t)\beta_{i,k}^{m,j}(t)e_j$$
$$-\nu \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \lambda_i^j u_j(t)e_j = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Multiplying (4.1) by $e_j(x)$ integrate over Ω, $j = 1 \cdots, m$, therefore, we obtained an initial value problem for a system of odinary differential equations for $u_i(t)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^{m,j}(t)u_{it}(t) + \sum_{i,k=1}^{m} \beta_{i,k}^{m,j}(t)u_i(t)u_k(t) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^j u_j(t) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

where $j = 1 \cdots, m$, with the initial data $u_j(0) = (u^{(m)}(0, x), e_j(x))$. We can deduce that (4.5) is local well posed on [0,T). While the function $\rho^{(m)}$ are sought from the transport equation (4.2). Assume $\rho_0(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, where $\{\rho_0^{(m)}(x)\}$ is a sequence of the functions from $C^1(\Omega)$ converging to $\rho_0(x)$ in the norms of the spaces $L^p(\Omega)$,$1 \le p < \infty$. Since the initial data satisfies $\partial_3\rho_0(x) = 0$ on the boundary, then it also implies that $\partial_3\rho^{(m)}(t, x) = 0$ on the boundary. Therefore, the mth approximated problem can also be written in the form:

$$(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}, v) - \nu(Au^{(m)}, v) = 0, \tag{4.6}$$
$$\rho_t^{(m)} + u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\rho^{(m)} = 0, \tag{4.7}$$
$$u^{(m)}(0, x) = P_m u_0(x), \rho^{(m)}(0, x) = \rho_0(x). \tag{4.8}$$

Where $v \in \text{span}\{e_1, \cdots, e_m\}$, taking $v = u^{(m)}$, we obtain the equations

$$(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}) - (\nu\Delta u^{(m)}, u^{(m)}) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

together with (4.2), we can deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{(m)}}u^{(m)}\|^2 + 2\nu\|\nabla \times u^{(m)}\|^2 = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

According to (4.7), we can know that $0 < m \le \rho^{(m)} \le M < \infty$. Therefore,

$$\rho^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \text{uniformly for m,}$$
$$\sqrt{\rho^{(m)}}u^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; X), \quad \text{uniformly for m,}$$
$$u^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^2(0, T; V), \quad \text{uniformly for m.}$$

Note that for $\phi \in V$, we have

$$|(-\Delta u^{(m)}), \phi)| = |(\nabla \times u^{(m)}, \nabla \times \phi)|$$

it implies that

$$\{-\Delta u^{(m)}\} \text{ is bounded in } Ł^2(0,T;V^*).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}|P^m(\rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}),\phi)| &= |(\rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}),\phi)|\\ &\le C\|u^{(m)}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u^{(m)}\|_1^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\phi\|_1,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$P^m(\rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}) \quad \text{is bounded in } L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0,T;V^*).$$

Therefore,

$$\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^{\frac{4}{3}}(0,T;V^*).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the arguments in S.N. Antontsev, A.V. Kazhikov[27] and thus further details are omitted. This completes the proof of theorem 3.2.

Furthermore, if we assume $\rho_0^{(m)} \in H^3(\Omega)$ and $u_0^{(m)} \in H^3(\Omega)$. In order to estimate the higher derivatives of the velocity vector, we obtain a priori estimate for the approximate solutions. We take $v = u_t^{(m)}$ in (4.6), we can obtain

$$m\|u_t^{(m)}\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times u^{(m)}\|^2 = \int_\Omega \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}u_t^{(m)}dx.$$

It can deduce

$$m\|u_t^{(m)}\|^2 + \nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times u^{(m)}\|^2 \le C\|u^{(m)}\|_2^4. \tag{4.11}$$

Then we continue to investigate the high order strong solution. □

5 The H³ strong solutions

In this section, we argue the local well posed-ness of H^3 strong solution of (1.1)-(1.2) with its initial data $\rho_0 \in H^3, u_0 \in H^3$ and its higher regularities. In addition, the initial data of density should satisfy the compatibility boundary condition $\nabla\rho_0\cdot n = 0$. Let $\rho^{(m)}, u^{(m)}$ be the Galerkin approximation constructed in the previous section, Set $\omega^{(m)} = \nabla\times u^{(m)}$. For the sake of the reader's convenience, we give a detailed proof.

Firstly, the investigation is devoted to the proof of the estimates of solutions for the transport equation. It has the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\rho_0 \in H^3(\Omega), u \in L^1(0,T;H^3(\Omega))$. Then the solution ρ satisfies*

$$\rho \in L^\infty(0,T^*;H^3(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T^*);H^3(\Omega)).$$

Proof. Applying the operator $D^\alpha(|\alpha| \le 3)$ to(4.2), multiplying the equation by $D^\alpha\rho$, integrating over Ω and adding in α, we can get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho^{(m)}(s)\|_3^2 \le C\|u^{(m)}(s)\|_3\|\rho^{(m)}(s)\|_3^2. \tag{5.1}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we can get

$$\|\rho^{(m)}(t)\|_3^2 \le C\|\rho^{(m)}(0)\|_3^2 \exp\{\int_0^t \|u^{(m)}(s)\|_3 ds\}.$$

Then the transport equation $\rho_t^{(m)} + u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\rho^{(m)} = 0$ with initial data $\rho_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$ and $u \in L^1(0,T;H^3(\Omega))$, it entails that $\rho \in C([0,T^*);H^3(\Omega))$. □

Secondly, we need to establish H^3 strong solution for the momentum equation. Then taking the curl of (4.1), we can obtain the following system

$$\nabla \times P_m(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}) - \nu\Delta\omega^{(m)} = 0, \tag{5.2}$$
$$\rho_t^{(m)} + u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\rho^{(m)} = 0, \tag{5.3}$$
$$\omega^{(m)}(0) = \nabla \times u_0^{(m)}, \ \nabla\rho^{(m)}(0) = \nabla\rho_0. \tag{5.4}$$

Denote that $(\nabla \times e_j, \nabla \times e_l) = \lambda_l(e_j, e_l), \nabla \times e_i \cdot \tau = 0, l = 1, \cdots, m$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nabla \times P_m(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}), \nabla \times e_l)\\
=&(\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m} (u_{it}(t)a_i^{m,j}(t) + u_i(t)u_k(t)\beta_{i,k}^{m,j}(t))\nabla \times e_j, \nabla \times e_l)\\
=&(\nabla \times (\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}), \nabla \times e_l)\\
=&(\rho^{(m)}\omega_t^{(m)} + \nabla\rho^{(m)} \times u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\omega^{(m)}\\
&- \rho^{(m)}\omega^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)} + \nabla\rho^{(m)} \times (u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}), \nabla \times e_l).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.2) can also be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\rho^{(m)}\omega_t^{(m)} + \nabla\rho^{(m)} \times u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\omega^{(m)} - \rho^{(m)}\omega^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}\\
&+ \nabla\rho^{(m)} \times (u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}), \nabla \times e_l) - \nu(\Delta\omega^{(m)}, \nabla \times e_l) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Multiplying (5.5) with $u_l(t)$ and summing it with respect to l from 1 to m, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{(m)}}\omega^{(m)}\|^2 + \nu\|\nabla \times \omega^{(m)}\|^2 = \int_\Omega -\nabla\rho^{(m)} \times u_t^{(m)}\omega^{(m)}\\
&-\nabla\rho^{(m)} \times (u^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)})\omega^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}\omega^{(m)} \cdot \nabla u^{(m)}\omega^{(m)}dx\\
\le& C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u_t^{(m)}\|\|u^{(m)}\|_3 + \|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u^{(m)}\|_3^3 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^3)\\
\le& C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Multiplying (5.6) with $\partial_t u_l(t)$ and summing it with respect to l from 1 to m, then we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \rho^{(m)}|\omega_t^{(m)}|^2dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\omega^{(m)}\|^2\\
=&\int_\Omega -\nabla\rho^{(m)}\times u_t^{(m)}\omega_t^{(m)} - \nabla\rho^{(m)}\times(u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)})\omega_t^{(m)}\\
&-\rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla\omega^{(m)}\omega_t + \rho^{(m)}\omega^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}\omega_t dx\\
\le& C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u_t^{(m)}\|\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1 + \|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1\|u^{(m)}\|_3^2\\
&+\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1\|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|_1\|u^{(m)}\|_3^2)\\
\le& C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|^4) + \frac{m}{2}\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&m\|\omega_t^{(m)}\|^2 + \nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\omega^{(m)}\|^2\\
\le& C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|^4).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

In order to obtain high order estimate, we further explore higher derivative of the equation. If we note $\psi^{(m)}(t,x) = -\Delta u^{(m)}(t,x)$. It follows from (4.1-4.3), we can deduce

$$-\Delta P_m(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}) - \nu\Delta\psi^{(m)} = 0,\tag{5.8}$$

$$\rho_t^{(m)} + u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla\rho^{(m)} = 0,\tag{5.9}$$

$$-\Delta u^{(m)}(0) = P_m(u_0),\ -\Delta\rho^{(m)}(0) = -\Delta\rho_0.\tag{5.10}$$

Denote that $\psi^{(m)}\cdot n = 0, \nabla\times\psi^{(m)}\times n = 0$. Where we use the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\Delta P_m(-\Delta(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}), e_l)\\
&=(\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m}(u_{it}a_i^{m,j} + u_i(t)u_k\beta_{i,k}^{m,j})\lambda_j e_j, e_l)\\
&=(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}, -\Delta e_l)\\
&=(-\Delta(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}, e_l).
\end{aligned}$$

So we can find

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\Delta P_m(-\Delta(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)})\\
=&P_m(-\Delta(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $\nabla\times e_i\times n = 0$ and $\nabla\times(\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)} + \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u^{(m)})\times n = 0$ on the boundary. Taking the inner product (5.8) with $\psi^{(m)}$, we can obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{(m)}}\psi^{(m)}\|^2 + \nu\|\nabla\times\psi^{(m)}\|^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_\Omega \Delta\rho^{(m)} u_t^{(m)}\psi + \nabla\rho^{(m)}\nabla u_t^{(m)}\psi^{(m)} + [\Delta, \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla]u^{(m)}\psi^{(m)}dx \\
&\leq C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u_t^{(m)}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u^{(m)}\|_3 \\
&\quad + \|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1\|u^{(m)}\|_3 + \|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u^{(m)}\|_3^3 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^3) \\
&\leq C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|^2) + \frac{m}{2}\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^2. \qquad (5.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the inner product (5.8) with $\psi_t^{(m)}$, we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \rho^{(m)}|\psi_t^{(m)}|^2dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\psi^{(m)}\|^2 \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla\rho^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u_t^{(m)}\psi_t^{(m)} + \Delta\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)}\psi_t^{(m)} \\
&\quad - \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla\psi^{(m)}\psi_t^{(m)} + [-\Delta, \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla]u^{(m)}\psi_t^{(m)}dx \\
&\leq C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1\|u_t^{(m)}\|_2 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2\|u_t^{(m)}\|_2 + \|\rho^{(m)}\|_3\|u^{(m)}\|_3^2\|u_t^{(m)}\|_2) \\
&\leq C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^8 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^2) + \frac{m}{2}\|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

so we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
&m\|\psi_t^{(m)}\|^2 + \nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\psi^{(m)}\|^2 \\
&\leq C(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^8 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^2). \qquad (5.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to obtain a further result through a similar process, taking the inner product (5.8) with $-\Delta\psi^{(m)}$, then we can get the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{(m)}}\nabla\times\psi^{(m)}\|^2 + \nu\|\Delta\psi^{(m)}\|^2 \\
&= \int_\Omega -\nabla\rho^{(m)}\times\psi_t^{(m)}\cdot\nabla\times\psi^{(m)} - \nabla\rho^{(m)}\times(u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla\psi^{(m)})\nabla\times\psi^{(m)} \\
&\quad + \rho^{(m)}\omega\cdot\nabla\psi^{(m)}\nabla\times\psi^{(m)} - \nabla\rho^{(m)}\cdot\nabla u_t^{(m)}\Delta\psi^{(m)} \\
&\quad - \Delta\rho^{(m)}u_t^{(m)}\Delta\psi^{(m)} - [-\Delta, \rho^{(m)}u^{(m)}\cdot\nabla]u^{(m)}\Delta\psi^{(m)}dx \\
&\leq C[\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3(\|u_t^{(m)}\|_2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|_1)\|u^{(m)}\|_3 + \|\rho\|_3\|u^{(m)}\|_3^3 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^3 \\
&\leq C(\|\rho\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^2) + \frac{m}{2}\|\psi_t^{(m)}\|^2, \qquad (5.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

adding (5.12) to (5.13), due to (5.6), (5.7) and (5.11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&m\|\psi_t^{(m)}\|^2 + 2\nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\psi^{(m)}\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho^{(m)}}\nabla\times\psi^{(m)}\|^2 + 2\nu\|\Delta\psi^{(m)}\|^2 \\
&\leq c(\|\rho^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^8 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^4 + \|u^{(m)}\|_3^2 + \|u_t^{(m)}\|_1^2), \qquad (5.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Gronwall's inequality

$$
\|\sqrt{\rho^{(m)}}\nabla\times\psi^{(m)}(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\psi_t^{(m)}(s)\|^2ds + \nu\int_0^t \|\Delta\psi^{(m)}(s)\|^2ds \leq C
$$

It implies

$$\rho^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^\infty(0,T;H^3(\Omega)),$$
$$u^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^\infty(0,T;H^3(\Omega)),$$
$$u^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^2(0,T;H^4(\Omega)).$$
$$u_t^{(m)} \quad \text{is bounded in } L^2(0,T;W).$$

Thus, we have the following further regularity result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\rho_0 \in H^3(\Omega), u_0 \in W \cap H^3(\Omega)$. Then the strong solution (ρ, u) satisfies*

$$u \in L^2(0,T;H^4(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T^*);H^3(\Omega)),$$

$$u_t \in L^2(0,T;W),$$

for any $T \in [0,T^*)$ and the energy estimate

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\nabla \times \psi(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\psi_t(s)\|^2 ds + \nu \int_0^t \|\Delta\psi(s)\|^2 ds \le C$$

holds for $\psi = -\Delta u$, where ψ satisfies $(\nabla \times \psi)\cdot\tau = 0$ on the boundary.

To show the strong solutions is unique, we consider two strong solutions $(\rho_1, u_1, p_1), (\rho_2,\ u_2, p_2)$. Then their difference $a = \rho_1 - \rho_2, v = u_1 - u_2, q = p_1 - p_2$, satisfies

$$a_t + v\cdot\nabla\rho_2 + u_1\cdot\nabla a = 0, \tag{5.15}$$
$$(au_{1t} + \rho_2 v_t) - \nu\Delta v + au_1\cdot\nabla u_1 + \rho_2 v\cdot\nabla u_1 + \rho_2 u_2\cdot\nabla v + \nabla q = 0. \tag{5.16}$$

Taking the inner prodcts (5.15) with a sum up (5.16) with v, since initial data $\rho_0, u_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|a\|^2 + \|v\|^2) \le g(t)(\|a\|^2 + \|v\|^2),$$

where g(t) is a positive integrable function on [0,T]. Since $a(0) = 0, v(0) = 0$, through Gronwall inequality we can know a=0, v=0, this implies that the strong solution is uniqueness.

Remark 5.1. *In fact, we have shown that the vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u$ satisfies the following nonhomogeneous fluid*

$$\begin{cases} \rho(\omega_t + u\cdot\nabla\omega - \omega\cdot\nabla u) + \nabla\rho\times(\partial_t u + u\cdot\nabla u) - \nu\Delta\omega = 0, & in\ \Omega, \\ \partial_t\rho + u\cdot\nabla\rho = 0, & in\ \Omega, \\ \nabla\cdot u = 0, & in\ \Omega, \\ \omega(0,x) = \omega_0, \rho(0,x) = \rho_0, & in\ \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.17}$$

With the boundary

$$\omega \cdot \tau = 0, \ \Delta\omega \cdot \tau = 0, \ \text{on } \Omega. \tag{5.18}$$

And $\psi = -\Delta u$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \rho\psi_t - \nu\Delta\psi + \rho u \cdot \nabla\psi \\ = \nabla\rho \cdot \nabla u_t + \Delta\rho u_t - [-\Delta, \rho u \cdot \nabla]u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \rho_t + u \cdot \nabla\rho = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot \psi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

Where $[-\Delta, \rho u \cdot \nabla]u = -\Delta(\rho u \cdot \nabla u) - \rho u \cdot \nabla\psi$. *With the boundary condition*

$$\psi \cdot n = 0, (\nabla \times \psi) \cdot \tau = 0, \ \text{on } \Omega. \tag{5.20}$$

6 The vanishing viscosity limit

This section we mainly study the vanishing viscosity limit of the nonhomogeneous fluid. First, we proof the uniform estimate, then by standard limit process, we can find the solution to system (1.1) with boundary condition (1.2) convergence to system (1.3) with boundary condition (1.4).

Proposition 6.1. *Assume* $\rho_0 \in H^3(\Omega), u_0 \in W \cap H^3(\Omega)$ *and* $\nabla\rho_0 \cdot n = 0$ *on the boundary. Then there is a* T_0 *such that* $0 < T_0 < T^*$ *depending on* $\|(\rho_0, u_0)\|_{H^3}$ *such that the strong solution* $\rho(\nu), u(\nu)$ *of the system (1.1-1.2) with the initial data* (ρ_0, u_0) *obeys the following uniform bound*

$$\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_3 + \|u(\cdot, t)\|_3 \le C \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_0],$$

where C *is a constant independent of* ν.

First, let us show the following lemma:

Lemma 6.1. *For all* $(x, t) \in \Omega \times R_+$, *if the density satisfies* $0 < m \le \rho_0(x) \le M < \infty$, *if we put*

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t [1 + \|\rho(s)\|_3^2 + \|u(s)\|_3^2]^2 ds,$$

then we have

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L^r} = \|\rho(0)\|_{L^r} \quad (1 \le r \le \infty) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

and

$$\sup_{0 \le s \le t} \|\rho(s)\|_3^2 \le C\|\rho(0)\|_3^2 + c\Phi(t). \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Considering ρ, u satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + u \cdot \nabla \rho = 0,\\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying the differential operator D^3 to the transport equation, obtaining

$$\partial_t(D^3\rho) + u \cdot \nabla D^3 \rho + \sum_{\substack{0\le\alpha_2\le 2\\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2=3}} D^{\alpha_1} u \cdot \nabla D^{\alpha_2}\rho = 0.$$

Taking the inner product with $D^3\rho$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho(t)\|_3^2 \le C\|u(t)\|_3\|\rho(t)\|_3^2.$$

So, by Young's inequality, it yields the desired estimate. □

Lemma 6.2. *If the density satisfies* $0 < m \le \rho_0(x) \le M < \infty$ *and* $\nabla\rho_0 \cdot n = 0$ *on the boundary, Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u(t)\|_3^2 + \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|_2^2 ds + \nu\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_4^2 ds\\ \le&\bar{c}[A^2B + A(A+B)\Phi(t) + (A+B)\Phi(t)^2 + \Phi(t)^3]\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Where C is a positive constant depending on m, M.

Proof. (1) Multiplying $(1.1)_1$ by u and integrate over Ω, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}u\|^2 + \nu\|\nabla\times u\|^2 = 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Multiplying $(1.1)_1$ by u_t and integrate over Ω, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega \rho|u_t|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times u\|^2 = -\int_\Omega \rho u\cdot\nabla u\partial_t u dx\\ \le& M\|u\|_1\|\nabla u\|_1\|u_t\| \le c_1\|u\|_2^4 + \frac{m}{2}\|u_t\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Thus

$$m\|u_t\|^2 + \nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times u\|^2 \le c_2\|u\|_2^4. \tag{6.5}$$

Where c_1 is positive constants depending only on m, M and imbedding theorems.

(2) Multiplying $(5.17)_1$ by ω and integrate over Ω, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\omega\|^2 + \nu\|\nabla\times\omega\|^2\\ =&\int_\Omega -\nabla\rho\times\partial_t u\omega - \nabla\rho\times(u\cdot\nabla u)\omega + \rho\omega\cdot\nabla u\omega dx\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq c_3(\|\rho\|_2\|u_t\|\|u\|_2+\|\rho\|_2\|u\|_2\|u\|_1^2+\|u\|_2^2\|u\|_1)\\&\leq c_4(\|\rho\|_2^4+\|u\|_2^4+\|u\|_2^2)+\frac{m}{2}\|u_t\|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{6.6}$$

therefore, adding (6.5) and (6.6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&m\|u_t\|^2+2\nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times u\|^2+\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\omega\|^2+2\nu\|\nabla\times\omega\|^2\\\leq&c_5(\|\rho\|_2^4+\|u\|_2^2+\|u\|_2^4),\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

so noting that $\nu\leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\|u_t(s)\|^2ds+\nu\|\sqrt{\rho}\omega(t)\|^2+\|\sqrt{\rho}\omega(t)\|^2+\nu\int_0^t\|\nabla\times\omega(s)\|^2ds\\\leq&c_6[B+\Phi(t)].\end{aligned}\tag{6.8}$$

Multiplying $(5.17)_1$ by ω_t and integrate over Ω, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\rho|\omega_t|^2dx+\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\omega_t\|^2\\=&\int_\Omega-\rho u\cdot\nabla\omega\omega_t-\nabla\rho\times\partial_t u\omega_t-\nabla\rho\times(u\cdot\nabla u)\omega_t+\rho\omega\cdot\nabla u\omega_t dx\\\leq&c_7(\|\omega_t\|u\|_2^2+\|\rho\|_3\|u_t\|\|\omega_t\|+\|u\|_2^2\|\omega_t\|+\|\rho\|_2\|u\|_2^2\|\omega_t\|\\\leq&c_8(\|u\|_2^4+\|\rho\|_3^2(\|u_t\|^2+\|u\|_2^4))+\frac{m}{2}\|\omega_t\|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{6.9}$$

we can get the result

$$m\|\omega_t\|^2+\nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\omega_t\|^2\leq c_9(\|u\|_2^4+\|\rho\|_3^2(\|u_t\|^2+\|u\|_2^4)),\tag{6.10}$$

(3) Multiplying $(5.19)_1$ by ψ and integrating over Ω, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\psi\|^2+\nu\|\nabla\times\psi\|^2\\=&\int_\Omega\nabla\rho\cdot\nabla u_t\psi+\Delta\rho u_t\psi-[-\Delta,\rho u\cdot\nabla]u\psi dx\\\leq&c_{10}(\|\rho\|_3\|u_t\|_1\|\psi\|+\|u\|_3\|u\|_2\|\psi\|\\&+\|\rho\|_3\|u\|_2^2\|\psi\|+\|\rho\|_3\|u\|_2\|u\|_3\|\psi\|)\\\leq&c_{11}(\|\rho\|_3^2(\|u\|_3^4+\|u\|_3^2)+\|u\|_3^4+\|u\|_3^2)+\frac{m}{2}\|u_t\|_1^2,\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

If we add (6.10) to (6.11), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&m\|\omega_t\|^2+2\nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\omega_t\|^2+\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\psi\|^2+2\nu\|\nabla\times\psi\|^2\\\leq&c_{12}(\|u\|_3^4+\|u\|_3^2+\|\rho\|_3^2(\|u\|_3^4+\|u_t\|^2),\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

so we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \|\omega_t(s)\|^2 ds + \nu\|\nabla\times\omega_t(t)\|^2 + \|\psi(t)\|^2 + \nu\int_0^t \|\nabla\times\psi(s)\|^2 ds\\ &\le c_{13}[AB + (A+B)\Phi(t) + \Phi(t)^2].\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

at the same time, multiplying $(5.19)_1$ by ψ_t and integrating over Ω, then we can get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega \rho|\psi_t|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\psi\|^2\\ =&\int_\Omega -\rho u\cdot\nabla\psi\psi_t + \nabla\rho\cdot\nabla u_t\psi_t + \Delta\rho u_t\psi_t - [-\Delta, \rho u\cdot\nabla]u\psi_t dx\\ \le& c_{14}(\|u\|_2\|u\|_3\|\psi_t\| + \|\rho\|_3\|u_t\|_1\|\psi_t\| + \|\rho\|_3\|u_t\|\|\psi_t\|\\ &+ \|u\|_3\|u\|_2\|\psi_t\| + \|\rho\|_3\|u\|_3^2\|\psi_t\| + \|\rho\|_3\|u\|_2\|u\|_3\|\psi_t\|)\\ \le& c_{15}(\|u\|_3^4 + \|u\|_3^2 + \|\rho\|_3^2(\|u\|_3^4 + \|u_t\|_1^2 + \|u_t\|^2) + \frac{m}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

so we can get

$$\begin{aligned}&m\|\psi_t\|^2 + \nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\psi\|^2\\ \le& c_{16}(\|u\|_3^4 + \|u\|_3^2 + \|\rho\|_3^2(\|u\|_3^4 + \|u_t\|_1^2 + \|u_t\|^2).\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Multiplying $(5.19)_1$ by $-\Delta\psi$ and integrating over Ω, then utilizing the boundary condition (5.20), we have the equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\nabla\times\psi\|^2 + \nu\|\Delta\psi\|^2\\ =&\int_\Omega -\nabla\rho\times\psi_t\cdot\nabla\times\psi - \nabla\rho\times(u\cdot\nabla\psi)\nabla\times\psi + \rho\omega\cdot\nabla\psi\nabla\times\psi\\ &- \nabla\rho\cdot\nabla u_t\Delta\psi - \Delta\rho u_t\Delta\psi - [-\Delta, \rho u\cdot\nabla]u\Delta\psi dx\\ \le& c_{17}[\|\rho\|_3(\|\psi_t + \|u_t\|_1)\|u\|_3 + \|\rho\|_3\|u\|_3^3 + \|u\|_3^3\\ \le& c_{18}(\|\rho\|_3^2(\|u\|_3^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2) + \|u\|_3^4 + \|u\|_3^2) + \frac{m}{2}\|\psi_t\|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

adding (6.15) to (6.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&m\|\psi_t\|^2 + 2\nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\times\psi\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\nabla\times\psi\|^2 + 2\nu\|\Delta\psi\|^2\\ \le& c_{19}(\|u\|_3^4 + \|u\|_3^2 + \|\rho\|_3^2(\|u\|_3^4 + \|u\|_3^2 + \|u_t\|_1^2 + \|u_t\|^2),\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

then due to (6.1), (6.8) and (6.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \|\psi_t(s)\|^2 ds + \nu\|\nabla\times\psi(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla\times\psi(t)\|^2 + \nu\int_0^t \|\Delta\psi(s)\|^2 ds\\ \le& c_{20}[A^2B + A(A+B)\Phi(t) + (A+B)\Phi(t)^2 + \Phi(t)^3]\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

Therefore, we get the lemma holds. □

Lemma 6.3. *There exists $T^* = T^*(c, \bar{c}, A, B) \in (0, T]$ such that*

$$\Phi(t) \leq 1 \quad \textit{for} \quad t \leq T^*.$$

Proof. According to the (6.18), noting $E(t) = 1 + \Phi(t)$, then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq C E(t)^6$$

where C is independent of ν, if $(t < 5C)^{-1}$, it deduces that

$$E(t) \leq (1 - 5Ct)^{-\frac{1}{5}},$$

so $E(t) \leq 2$ provided $t \leq T^* = \frac{31}{160C}$. □

Remark 6.1. *Through lemma 6.4 and 6.6, we can complete the proof of proposition 6.1.*

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\rho_0 \in H^3(\Omega), u_0 \in W \cap H^3(\Omega)$ and $\nabla \rho_0 \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary. Let $T_0 > 0$ and $\rho(\nu), u(\nu)$ be the corresponding strong solution of the nonhomogeneous fluid on $[0, T_0]$. Then, as $\nu \to 0$, (ρ, u) converges to the unique solution (ρ^0, u^0) with the same initial data in the sense*

$$\rho(\nu), u(\nu) \to (\rho^0, u^0) \ \textit{ in } L^q(0, T; H^3(\Omega)),$$

$$\rho(\nu), u(\nu) \to (\rho^0, u^0) \ \textit{ in } C(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$$

for any $1 \leq q < \infty$.

Proof. We know from Proposition 5.1 that

$$\rho(\nu), u(\nu) \text{ is uniformly bounded in } C([0, T_0]; H^3(\Omega)),$$

$$\rho'(\nu) \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2(0, T_0; H^2(\Omega)),$$

$$u'(\nu) \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2(0, T_0; W),$$

for all $\nu > 0$. By the standard compactness argument, there is a subsequence ν_n of ν and vector functions ρ^0, u^0 such

$$(\rho(\nu_n), u(\nu_n) \to (\rho^0, u^0)) \text{ in } L^p(0, T; H^3(\Omega));$$
$$(\rho(\nu_n), u(\nu_n) \to (\rho^0, u^0)) \text{ in } C([0, T]; H^2(\Omega)),$$

for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, as $\nu_n \to 0$. Passing to the limit, we find that the limit solves the following limit equations

$$\begin{cases} \rho^0 \partial_t u^0 + \rho^0 u^0 \cdot \nabla u^0 + \nabla p^0 = 0, & \textit{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t \rho^0 + u^0 \cdot \nabla \rho^0 = 0, & \textit{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot u^0 = 0, & \textit{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{6.19}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u^0 \cdot n = 0, \nabla \times u^0 \cdot \tau = 0 \quad on\ \partial\Omega, \tag{6.20}$$

where p satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta p^0 &= -\nabla \cdot (\rho^0 u^0 \cdot \nabla u^0) - \partial_t u^0 \cdot \nabla \rho^0, \\ \nabla p^0 \cdot n &= -\rho^0 u^0 \cdot \nabla u^0 \cdot n. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of the uniqueness of the strong solutions of the nonhomogeneous fluid in the previous section, we can obtain the solution to the initial boundary value problem of the inviscid nonhomogeneous fluid is unique. □

Finally, we proof the convergence rate in the model of H^2:

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\rho_0 \in H^3(\Omega), u_0 \in W \cap H^3(\Omega)$ and satisfy $\nabla\rho_0 \cdot n = 0$. Let $T_0 > 0$ in proposition 3.6. Then*

$$\|\rho(\nu) - \rho\|_2^2 + \|u(\nu) - u\|_2^2 \le C(T_0)\nu$$

on the interval $[0, T_0]$.

Proof. Note $a = \rho - \rho^0, v = u - u^0$ and $q = p - p^0$, then

$$\begin{cases} a_t + u^0 \cdot \nabla a = -v \cdot \nabla \rho, & in\ \Omega, \\ \rho[v_t + u \cdot \nabla v] - \nu\Delta v + \nabla q & \\ = -\rho v \cdot \nabla u^0 + (\nabla p^0/\rho^0)a + \nu\Delta u^0 \equiv F, & in\ \Omega, \\ \text{div } v = 0, & in\ \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{6.21}$$

with the initial conditions

$$a\ |_{t=0} = 0, v\ |_{t=0} = 0,\ x \in \Omega.$$

(1) Applying the operator $-\Delta$ to $(6.21)_1$, and then by the priori estimates, we can get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|a(t)\|_2^2 \le C(\|a(s)\|_2^2 + \|v(s)\|_2^2), \tag{6.22}$$

integrating over[0,t], it results in

$$\|a(t)\|_2^2 \le C_1 \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_2^2 ds. \tag{6.23}$$

(2) From $(6.21)_2$, analogous to the priori estimate, first, taking the operator $-\Delta$, and then taking inner product with $\Psi = -\Delta v$, we find

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{\rho}\Psi(t)\|^2 + \nu\|\nabla \times \Psi(s)\|^2 \le C_2(\|F(s)\|_2^2 + \|\Psi(t)\|^2), \tag{6.24}$$

hence

$$\|\Psi(t)\|^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \times \Psi(s)\|^2 \leq C_2 \int_0^t \|F(s)\|_2^2 ds. \tag{6.25}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants depending only on $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T_0} \|a(t)\|_3^2$, $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T_0} \|v(t)\|_3^2$, m and M. we can estimate for the right hand side of (6.25), it obviously that

$$\|\rho v \cdot \nabla u^0(t)\|_2^2 \leq C_3(M + \|a\|_3)^2 \|u^0\|_3^2 \|v\|_2^2, \tag{6.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla p^0/\rho^0)a(t)\|_2^2 \leq & C_4 \|(\nabla p^0/\rho^0)(t)\|_2^2 \|a(t)\|_2^2 \\ \leq & C_1 C_4 \|u_t^0(t)\|_2^2 + \|u^0(t)\|_2^2 \|Du^0(t)\|_2^2) \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_2^2 ds, \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

also

$$\|\nu \Delta u^0\|_2^2 \leq C_5 \nu. \tag{6.28}$$

Throughout all the estimate, we can know

$$\|a(t)\|_2^2 + \|\Psi(t)\|^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \times \Psi(s)\|^2 \leq C(\nu + \int_0^t \|\Psi(s)\|^2 ds), \tag{6.29}$$

then, by Gronwall's inequality

$$\|a(t)\|_2^2 + \|\Psi(t)\|^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \times \Psi(s)\|^2 \leq C(T_0)\nu. \tag{6.30}$$

This complete the result. □

References

[1] A. Valli, W. M. Zajaczkowski, About the motion of nonhomogeneous ideal incompressible fluids, Nonlinear Anal, 12 (1988), 43-50.

[2] A. V. Kazhikov, Solvability of the initial-boundary value problem for the equations of the motion of an inhomogeneous viscous incompressible fluid, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 216 (1974), 1008-1010.

[3] C. L. M. Navier, Mémoire sur les lois du mouvement des fluides, Mémoires de l'Académie Royale des Sciences de l'Institut de France, 1(1816).

[4] D. Iftimie, G. Planas, Inviscid limits for the Navier-Stokes equations with Navier friction boundary conditions, Nonlinearity, (4), 19 (2006), 899-918.

[5] D. Iftimie, F. Sueur, Viscous boundary layers for the navier-stokes equations with the navier slip conditions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Analy, 199 (2011), 145-175.

[6] G. P. Galdi, An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equa- tions, vol. I. revised edition, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy (ed. C. Truesdell), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 38 (1998).

[7] H. Abidi, G. Gui, P. Zhang, On the wellposedness of three-dimensional inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal, (1), 204 (2012), 189-230.

[8] H. Beirão da Veiga, A. Valli, On the Euler equations for nonhomogeneous fluids, I, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 63 (1980), 151-168.

[9] H. Beirão da Veiga, A. Valli, On the Euler equations for nonhomogeneous fluids, II. J. Math. Anal. Appl, (2), 73 (1980), 338-350.

[10] H. Beirão da Veiga, F. Crispo, Sharp inviscid limit results under Navier type boundary conditions, An L^p theory. J. Math. fluid mech, 12 (2010), 397-411.

[11] H. Beirão da Veiga, F. Crispo, Concerning the $W^{k,p}$-inviscid limit for 3D flows under a slip boundary condition, J. Math. fluid mech, 13 (2011), 117-135.

[12] H. Choe, H. Kim, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 28 (2003), 1183-1201.

[13] J. Kelliher, Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions for a bounded domain in the plane, SIAM J. Math. Anal, (1), 38 (2006), 210-232.

[14] J. W. Zhang, Global well-posedness for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity coefficient, J. Differential Equations, 259 (2015), 1722-1742.

[15] L. C. Berselli, S. Spirito, On the vanishing viscosity limit of 3D navier stokes equations under slip boundary conditions in general domains, Commun. Math. Phys, 316 (2012), 171-198.

[16] L. C. Berselli, S. Spirito, An elementary approach to the inviscid limits for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with slip boundary conditions and applications to the 3D Boussinesq equations, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl, 21 (2014), 149-166.

[17] N. Masmoudi, F. Rousset, Uniform Regularity for the Navier-Stokes equation with Navier boundary condition, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal, 203 (2012), 529-575.

[18] O. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, Unique solvability of an initial and boundary-value problem for viscous in-compressible nonhomogeneous fluids, J. Soviet Math, 9 (1978), 697-749.

[19] P. A. Kitkin, On the dynamics of sea and ocean flows, Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 92 (1953), 293-296.

[20] P. L. Lions, Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Vol. I: Incompressible Models, Oxford Lecture Series in Math, and Its Appl. 3, Oxford University Press, New York, (1996).

[21] R. Danchin, Local and global well-posedness resultats for flows of inhomogenenous viscous fluids, Adv. differential equations, 9 (2004), 353-386.

[22] R. Danchin, The inviscid limit for density dependent incompressible fluids, Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, 15 (2006), 637-688.

[23] R. Danchin, On the well-posedness of the incompressible density-dependent Euler equations in the L^p framework, J. Differential Equations, 248 (2010), 2130-2170.

[24] R. Danchin. P. B. Mucha, A Lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math, 65 (2012), 1458-1480.

[25] S. Rodolfo, The equations of viscous incompressible non-homogeneous fluids: on the existence and regularity, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 33 (1991), 94-110.

[26] S. A. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikov, Mathematical study of flows of nonhomogeneous fluids, in: Lecture notes,Novosibirsk State University, USSR, (1973).

[27] S. A. Antontsev, A.V. Kazhikov, Boundary value Problems in Mechanics of Nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1990).

[28] S, Itoh, On the vanishing viscosity in the cauchy problem for the equations of a nonhomogeneous incompressible fluid, Glasgow Math. J, 36 (1994), 123-129.

[29] S, Itoh, A, Tani, Solvability of nonstationary problems for nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids and convergence with vanishing viscosity, Tokyo J Math, 22 (1999), 17-42.

[30] S. N. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikhov and V. N. Monakhov, Boundary value problems in mechanics of nonhomogeneous fluids, North-Holland, (1990).

[31] W. Craig, H. X. Huang and Y. Wang, Global strong solutions fo 3D nonhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, J. Math. Fluid Mech, 15 (2013), 747-758.

[32] Y. Cho, H. Kim, Unique solvability for the density-dependent NavierCStokes equations. Nonlinear Anal, 59 (2004), 465-480.

[33] Y. L. Xiao, Z. P. Xin, On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, LX (2007), 1027-1055.

[34] Y. L. Xiao, Z. P. Xin, Vanishing viscosity limit for the 3D magnetohydrodynamic system with a slip boundary condition, J. Funct. Anal, 257 (2009), 3375-3394.

[35] Y. L. Xiao, Z. P. Xin, Remarks on vanishing viscosity limits for 3D Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition, Chin. Ann. Math, 32 (2011) 321-332.